PSYCHOLOGICAL CORRELATES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL SUCCESS

Rajinder Kaur & Devgan, V. H Department of Psychology Guru Nanak Dev University Amritsar, India

The study was undertaken with a view to investigate the psychological correlates of entrepreneurial success. The sample consisted of 100 entrepreneurs from Batala city of Punjab in India. The correlational analysis reveals that entrepreneurial success is positively associated with intelligence, achievement motivation, age, education, and experience. On the basis of principal component analysis of the data three basic dimensions of entrepreneurial success have been obtained, achievement motivation and intelligence, experience, and interpersonal skills.

Human resources constitute the main strength of economic development of a nation. Interest in the entrepreneur can be traced back to the early nineteenth century. However, it is during the last three decades that the study of entrepreneurship has gained great importance, especially because it helps to promote economic growth and has higher potential for generating employment. Recently there has been a sudden spurt in the development of entrepreneurship in both the developed and some of the developing countries, where the government's policy is to encourage small scale industry. Various incentives are also advertised by these governments to attract large number of potential entrepreneurs.

An entrepreneur is a person who has the ability to see and evaluate business opportunities, to gather the necessary resources, to take advantage of them, and to initiate appropriate action to ensure success (Meredith, Nelson, & Neck, 1982). Entrepreneurs are, therefore, action-oriented and highly motivated individuals who take moderate risks to achieve their goals.

Research related with the emergence and development of entrepreneurship indicates that entrepreneurs possess certain distinct

Dr. Rajinder Kaur is a Senior Lecturer in the Department of Psychology, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, India.

Devgan, V. is a Research Fellow in the Department of Psychology, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, India.

characteristics and qualities when measured on various personal traits and skills (Drucker, 1981; Hornaday & Bunker, 1970; Meredith et al., 1982; Pickle, 1964; Williams, 1980), and motivation (Hundal, 1971; Hundal & Singh, 1975; McClelland, 1971; McClelland & Winter, 1969).

Considerable research has been conducted on the psychological characteristics of the entrepreneurs in the West. However, in the developing society like India research in this area is quite limited and, thus, the need to explore the internal dynamics of the successful entrepreneur in this part of the world is also very important. The present study was, therefore, undertaken to investigate the psychological characteristics of the successful entrepreneurs with its main emphasis on personality characteristics, achievement motivation, risk-taking propensity, and creativity.

METHOD

Sample

The investigation was conducted on a sample of 100 industrial entrepreneurs from Batala city, district Gurdaspur, Punjab, India. The age of the sample ranged between 18 and 59 years with a mean and standard deviation of 35.24 and 12.76 years, respectively. These entrepreneurs mainly dealt with the production and distribution of lathe machines throughout India. Most of these entrepreneurs were not highly educated, they were generally under-graduates or below.

Success Index

The critical variable of the study was entrepreneurial success. The success of the entrepreneur was assessed on the basis of his growth rate. The growth rate was obtained by computing the regression of the initial profit over the years of enterprise to obtain the predicted profit and then the percentage deviation of the empirically determined predicted profit was obtained as follows:

Growth Rate = Present Profit - Predicted Profit x 100

Predicted Profit

Profit as an index of success has been used earlier too by Friedlander and Pickle (1968), and Child (1975).

Instruments

The following tests were used as predictors:

- a) Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire: 16PF (Cattell, Eber, & Tatsuoka, 1970).
- b) A Quick Measure of Achievement Motivation: nAch Scale (Smith, 1973).
- c) A Measure of Risk-Taking Propensity (Wallach, Kogan, & Bem, 1962).
- d) Torrance Test of Creative Thinking: The figural tests, Form A (Torrance, 1966, 1971).
- e) The Adapted Hindi version of the 16 PF (Kapoor, 1965), the nAch-Scale and Risk-Taking Scale were also used. (The nAch scale and the measure of Risk-Taking Propensity were translated into Hindi and correlated with the original scales, correlation coefficients of .92 and .94 were obtained, respectively).

Procedure

The tests were administered to each subject individually. Standard instructions as given in the respective manuals were followed while administering and scoring the tests.

RESULTS

Reliability Coefficients

The reliability coefficients of the 16PF were computed by the Kuder-Richardson method for the weighted items (K.R-Formula 20). The reliability coefficients ranged from .61 to .79 with a mean coefficient of .69. Reliabilities for the other tests were obtained by the test-retest method with a time gap of three weeks on a sub-sample of 20 subjects. The reliability coefficients ranged from .69 to .86.

Correlational Analysis

The Pearson's Product Moment Correlations were computed for the raw scores on all the 26 variables. For 100 subjects correlations of .19 and .25 are significant at p < .05 and p < .01 levels, respectively. The notable findings from the intercorrelation matrix (Table 1) have been summarised as follows. [see, Table 1]

1. Entrepreneurial success is positively associated with factor B (Intelligence, r = .22), achievement motivation (r = .23), age (r = .42), education (r = .41), and experience (r = .36).

These significant correlations reflect that a successful entrepreneur is intelligent, achievement oriented, better educated, and well experienced. Significant relationship between nAch and entrepreneurial success confirms McClelland's hypothesis that need for achievement promotes entrepreneurial success as well as supports the earlier findings of McClelland (1961), McClelland and Winter (1969), and Kaul (1990).

The significant positive association between education, age, experience, and success makes it obvious that experience alongwith education enhances entrepreneurial success.

- 2. Significant positive correlations have also been obtained between nAch and personality factors such as factors A(r=.40), B(r=.38), E(r=.27), F(r=.21), G(r=.46), H(r=.36), I(r=.25), Q(r=.35), which indicate that achievement oriented entrepreneurs possess a high super ego, are social, intelligent, assertive, enthusiastic, socially bold, and analytical. Although these significant correlations between nAch and personality characteristics, as well as nAch and divergent thinking, are not directly associated with success, however, they do reflect some of the thinking processes and behavioural characteristics of achievement oriented entrepreneurs.
- 3. Another interesting finding is the positive association between nAch and the three factors of divergent thinking, i.e., fluency (r=.36), originality (r=.19), and elaboration (r=.20), which implies that achievement oriented entrepreneurs express fluency of ideas, produce new ideas, and have the ability to elaborate and redefine concepts. In short, achievement oriented entrepreneurs can also be creative.

Correlation Matric (N=100)

				\boldsymbol{C}	ori	rel	ate	25	of	Ei	utr	еp	rei	ıei	ıru	21 2	uc	ces	55							
; k	94	13	-03	10	Ŗ	0	Ŗ	18	8	-12	8	8,	05	-10	10	10	8	10	Ş	g	7	-11	82	31	•	1
; -	8	0	-14	8	10	-11	8	8	-18	80	-18	-14	8	93	-07	9	8	18	9	Ŗ	8	93	4	•		
į	8	13	-	8	9	8	8	11	8	-10	-12	8	9	8	407	-11	8	03	6	12	ω	-11	٠			
i e	-1	9	13	\$	13	12	-22	-15	8	-21	-10	-07	-21	-13	Ö	-10	\$	8	-14	-15	-01					
;k	8	88	8	17	21	4	8	প্ন	8	8	8	\$	35	8	=	Ģ	18	\$	19	8	•					
;þ	8	8	15	8	б	8	12	8	-12	8	15	-17	12	8	8	-20	47	=======================================	2	•						
3 2	6	ষ	15	-1	Ş	Ξ	8	12	-20	9	17	-10	8	8	8	4	*	16	•							
٠	8	ю	32	\$	8	16	21	01	-22	8	8	-24	2	05	12	Ş	, '									
; Ę	6	-16	-17	9	9	Ş	-22	-17	23	8	-17	8	8	43	2	'										
٤	-59	-35	13	-13	17	88	9	-14	Ξ	Ş	8	33	8	23	•											
Ş	-19	9	8	12	07	-01	01	-10	23	21	8	41	23	•												
:	8				8			86		8		•	٠													
: k	2	-16	4	8	8	03	-22	-22	¥	9	4															
١Į	4	ଛ	17	03	-21	\$	35	45	9	4	'															
: 8	3 =	23	07	g	4	-21	35	53	10	•																-
2	ŝ	6	4	13	=	8	42	8	•																	
,	3 %	3	-12	4	-17	0	, % , %																			
۰ķ	3 6	15, 15	17	17	-19	13																				1
- 1	\$ 5					'																				18
٩	\$ \$		12		`																					ľ
-1	\$ 7		'	; '	1																					
1	\$ 2		5 '																							
-	3 5	₹	'																							
7	ê	•																								
7	•																									
Variables	Success Index	Reserved-Outgoing	Intelligence	Emotional-Stable	Submissive-Dominant	Sober-Enthusiastic	Expedient-Conscientions		Toughminded-Tenderminded	Trusting-Suspicious	Practical-Imaginative	Forthright-Shrewd	Placid-Apprehensive	Conservative-Radical (Analytical)	Group Dependent-Self Sufficient	Self Conflict-Self Control	Relaxed-Tense	Fluency	Flexibility	Originality	Elaboration	Need Achievement	Risk-taking	Age	Education	Experience
		¥	e M	ပ	ш	ഥ	Ŋ	Н	_	_	×	z	0	õ	õ	ပ်	3									
	ı	~		_																						

Factor Analysis

Factor analysis was finally used to get more insight into the structural relationships existing between the measures included in the study, specifically to identify the correlates of entrepreneurial success. The principal component method with unities in the diagonal were used for factor analysing the intercorrelation matrix. An eigen-value of 1.00 was taken as the cut off point for the number of factors to be extracted. Nine factors were extracted which account for 73.13% of the total variance. The obtained factor matrix was submitted to varimax rotation and all the variables having an absolute factor loading of .30 or greater were considered as significant. The rotated factor matrix is given in Table 2. [see, Table 2]

Factor I: Dynamic Competence

This is a factor of dynamic personal competence as it has significant positive loadings on five of the primary factors of personality such as assertiveness, analytical thinking, sensitivity, intelligence, and imagination. These may be considered as important personality characteristics of entrepreneurs..

Factor II: Creative Thinking

This is a factor on creativity. The tests showing significant loadings on this factor are flexibility, fluency, emotional stability, and confidence, which reveal that creativity is a function of emotional stability, and confidence. This in turn can be an important requisite for entrepreneurial growth and expansion.

Factor III: Commitment

Factor III reveals the characteristics of a conscientious entrepreneur. The significant loadings on dominance, enthusiasm, conscientiousness, practicability, self-control, and need achievement suggest that entrepreneurs with a high sense of duty are generally dominant, enthusiastic with a desire to excel, they are well integrated and attentive to practical matters. McClelland (1987) is also of the view that along with the desire to excel the individual's commitment to work is also essential for good entrepreneurial performance.

Varimax Rotated Factor Loadings (N= 100)

The color of the	V a r i a b l e s I II Success Index -16 -01 B Intelligence -22 13 C Emotional-Stable -44 75 E Submissive-Dominant 70 -23 F Sober-Enthusiastic 03 01 G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 I Toughminded-Tenderminded 65 -16 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 M Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 Q Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Control -23 06 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense 04 06 Plexibility -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense 07 16 Risk-taking -06 -06 Baboration -16 16 Represence -06 -06 Baboration -07 -06							FA	1	CTOR	S			
Success Index	A Reserved-Outgoing 22 13 B Intelligence 33 -02 C Emotional-Stable 44 75 E Submissive-Dominant 70 -23 F Sober-Enthusiastic 03 -05 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 I Toughminded-Tendeminded 65 -16 I Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 M Practical-Imaginative 26 -13 O Placid-Apprehensive 26 -13 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Q4 Risk-taking -13 11 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -06 -06 Age -06 -06 Age -06 -06 Age -06 -06 Age <th>S.No.</th> <th></th> <th>ariable</th> <th> -</th> <th>#</th> <th>111</th> <th>N</th> <th>7</th> <th>N.</th> <th>NII.</th> <th>IIIA</th> <th>ΧI</th> <th>42</th>	S.No.		ariable	-	#	111	N	7	N.	NII.	IIIA	ΧI	42
A Reserved-Outgoing 22 13 -01 -00 -09 04 -72 05 39 Einelligence 33 -02 -15 12 -09 03 -73 12 -01 18 Emotional-Stable 44 72 16 -06 -11 00 -09 112 18 18 19 10 15 Experient-Contribution 1 23 36 09 00 16 -20 13 10 18 10 11 11 11 14 -12 10 18 11 19 11 14 11 11 14 -14 11 18 11 14 -15 11 14 14	A Reserved-Outgoing 22 13 B Intelligence 44 75 C Emotional-Stable 44 75 E Submissive-Dominant 70 -23 F Sober-Enthusiastic 03 01 G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 H Shy-Venturescene 18 08 I Toughminded-Terderminded 65 -16 I Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 M Practical-Imaginative 25 -13 O Placid-Apprehensive 26 13 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Q4 Risk-taking -16 16 Age -16 16 Beducation -16 16 Beducation -16 -16 Beducation -16 -16 <tr< td=""><td> </td><td></td><td>Success Index</td><td>-16</td><td>Ģ</td><td>70-</td><td>51</td><td>10</td><td>8</td><td>-30</td><td>63</td><td>53</td><td>19</td></tr<>			Success Index	-16	Ģ	7 0-	51	10	8	-30	63	53	19
Emotional-Stable	B Intelligence 33 -02 C Emotional-Stable 44 75 E Submissive-Dominant 70 -23 F Sober-Enthusiastic 03 01 G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 I Toughminded-Tenderminded 65 -16 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 M Practical-Imaginative 05 -57 M Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 O Placid-Apprehensive 26 13 Q Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q Group Dependent-Self Control -23 06 Q Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Risk-taking -16 16 Risk-taking -16 16 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 C C C C C C C C C C	7	V	Reserved-Outgoing	23	13	ō	8	8	S	-12	S	36	27
C Emotional-Stable 44 75 16 -66 -11 00 -09 12 18 F Submissive-Dominant 70 -23 36 09 00 16 -20 -13 10 G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 88 04 01 02 09 11 -29 10 H Shy-Venturescence 18 08 06 18 -11 -10 -29 69 08 I Tooghminded-Tenderminded 65 -16 -04 35 -20 -14 -12 07 20 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 06 -05 42 -04 02 02 09 10 07 00 08 06 10 07 00 08 10 00 00 10 00 00 10 00 00 10 00 00 10 00 00	C Emotional-Stable 44 75 E Submissive-Dominant 70 -23 F Sober-Enthusiastic 03 01 G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 I Toughminded-Tenderminded 65 -16 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 M Practical-Imaginative 26 13 O Placid-Apprehensive 26 13 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Percentage -06 -06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Q4 Relaxed-Activement 113	i eri	m	Intelligence	33	8	-15	12	පු	83	-73	12	ō	2
E Submissive-Dominant 70 -23 36 09 00 16 -20 -13 10 G Expedient-Consciontious 09 01 80 -02 02 09 11 -29 11 -29 11 -29 11 -20 04 12 -20 -14 -12 07 11 -29 11 -20 04 12 -20 -14 -12 07 -20 11 -20 04 12 -20 0	E Submissive-Dominant 70 -23 F Sober-Enthusiastic 03 01 G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 I Toughminded-Tenderminded 65 -16 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 M Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 O Plactical-Imaginative 26 13 O Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Pluency Flaboration 21 13 Need Actievement 113 01 Risk-taking -06 -06 Education -16 16 Experience -07 -08 Experience </td <td>4</td> <td>ن د</td> <td>Emotional-Stable</td> <td>4</td> <td>75</td> <td>16</td> <td>8</td> <td>Ξ-</td> <td>8</td> <td>\$</td> <td>12</td> <td>18</td> <td>8</td>	4	ن د	Emotional-Stable	4	75	16	8	Ξ-	8	\$	12	18	8
F Sober-Enthusiastic 03 01 80 -02 09 11 -29 10 G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 88 04 01 -02 04 13 10 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 06 18 -04 01 -02 04 13 10 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -16 -04 32 -04 02 09 14 12 07 20 N Practical-Imaginative 26 13 -21 01 -13 -21 01 -13 -21 01 -13 -21 01 -13 -21 01 -16 40 60 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -06 20 -12 14 -15 40 60 Q2 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -06 -15 70 07	F Sober-Enthusiastic G3 O1 G Expedient-Conscientious O4 -05 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 I Toughminded-Tenderminded 65 -16 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -16 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -16 N Practical-Imaginative 05 13 N Practial-Imaginative 05 11 O Placid-Apprehensive 07 07 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense 03 55 Fluency 13 01 Risk-taking 06 06 Education 07 08 Experience 06 06 Experience 07 08 Experience 07 08 Experience 08 07 Experience 08 07 Experience 08 07 Experience 08 08 00 Experience 08	۶.	ш	Submissive-Dominant	2	53	36	8	8	16	8	-13	10	11
G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 88 04 01 -02 04 13 10 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 06 18 -11 -10 -29 69 08 I Trusting-Suspicious 05 -16 -04 35 -20 -14 -12 07 20 L Trusting-Suspicious 25 -15 -04 35 -20 -14 -12 07 20 N Porthight-Shrwd O Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 06 -16 78 07 06 -14 01 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -06 20 -12 -16 40 60 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 02 -15 70 02 16 08 -08 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 48 -02 -40 -21 38 37 -05 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 01 81 12 00 -23 01 Placiallity 03 55 21 10 -00 -33 -26 -03 -08 Flexibility 03 20 11 08 -06 -86 -01 10 -09 Risk-taking -06 -06 -06 -06 -07 11 -16 -05 Risk-taking -06 -06 -06 -06 -07 -10 -06 -13 -00 Experience -06 -06 -06 -07 -10 -06 -13 -00 Flexibility -16 -06 -06 -06 -07 -10 -06 -10 -06 Flexibility -17 -01 -06 -13 -00 Flexibility -18 -06 -06 -06 -00 -13 -00 Flexibility -18 -07 -07 -07 -07 -07 -07 -07 -07 -07 -07	G Expedient-Conscientious 04 -05 H Shy-Venturesome 18 08 I Toughminded-Tenderminded 65 -16 L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 M Practical-Imaginative 26 -13 N Forthright-Shrewd 26 -13 O Placida-Apprehensive -11 -22 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Ag Fluency 15 80 Originality -03 20 16 Age -06 -06 -06 Age -06 -06 Education -08 -07 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22	۰	щ	Sober-Enthusiastic	8	01	80	8	8	8	11	5 2	10	76
H. Shy-Venturesome	Shy-Venturesome	7.	Ö	Expedient-Conscientions	Ŗ	ģ	88	Z	0	8	Ŗ	13	10	81
I Toughminded-Tenderminded Tenderminded Go 65 -16 -04 35 -20 -14 -12 07 20 L Trusting-Suspicious 35 -57 06 -05 42 -04 02 -20 28 N Practical-Imaginative 26 13 -21 01 -13 -11 -01 -08 62 09 N Forthright-Shrwd 26 13 -21 01 -13 -21 -16 40 66 09 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -06 -16 78 07 -14 01 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 02 -15 70 02 16 -08 -	Toughminded-Tenderminded 65 -16 L Trusting-Suspicious 65 -57 M Practical-Imaginative 732 -03 N Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 -03 O Placid-Apprehensive 26 11 -22 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense 04 06 Fluency Flexibility 15 80 Originality 15 80 Originality 13 01 Risk-taking 06 -06 Education 13 01 Risk-taking -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience Contribution 8.86 8.22 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 Percentage Contribution 15 Percenta		H	Shy-Venturesome	18	80	8	18	-1	-10	6 7	8	80	8
L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 06 -05 42 -04 02 -20 28 M Practical-Imaginative 32 -03 -39 -10 17 -01 -08 62 09 N Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 -21 01 -13 -21 -16 40 60 O Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 06 -16 78 07 06 -14 01 Q ₁ Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -05 20 -12 -22 18 -02 Q ₂ Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 02 -15 70 02 16 08 -08 Q ₃ Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 48 -02 40 -21 38 37 -05 Q ₄ Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 01 81 12 00 -23 01 Flexibility -03 55 21 10 -00 -53 -26 -03 01 Flexibility -03 20 11 08 -06 -86 -01 10 -09 Need Achievement 13 01 53 11 11 -16 -63 21 -10 Risk-taking -06 -06 -08 90 17 -01 -06 -13 00 Experience -08 -02 00 89 12 07 -15 -16 16 Experience -08 -02 00 89 12 07 -16 16 Experience -08 -02 0.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	L Trusting-Suspicious 05 -57 M Practical-Imaginative 32 -03 N Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 O Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense 04 06 Fluency Fluency 03 55 Flexibility 05 01 Elaboration Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -06 -06 Education Experience 06 -06 Age 16 16 Age 20 -06 Education 8.86 8.22		Ι	Toughminded-Tenderminded	જ	-16	\$	32	8	-14	-12	0	20	9/
M Practical-Imaginative 32 -03 -39 -10 17 -01 -08 62 09 N Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 -21 01 -13 -21 -16 40 60 Q Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 06 -16 78 07 06 -14 01 Q2 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -06 20 -12 -22 18 07 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 02 -15 70 02 18 -02 -16 08 -08 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 48 -02 40 -21 31 12 05 -05 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 -01 89 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03	M Practical-Imaginative 32 -03 N Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 O Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Pluency 15 80 Originality -03 55 Flaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -06 -06 Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience -08 -02 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		ļ	Trusting-Suspicious	S	-57	8	ş	42	\$	8	8-	78	83
N Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 -21 01 -13 -21 -16 40 60 O Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 06 -16 78 07 05 -14 01 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -66 20 -12 -22 18 -02 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 02 -15 70 02 16 08 -08 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 48 -02 40 -21 38 37 -05 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 01 81 12 00 -23 01 Flexibility	N Forthright-Shrewd 26 13 O Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 Q₁ Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q₂ Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q₃ Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q₃ Self Conflict-Self Control -04 06 Q₄ Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Fuency 15 80 Originality -03 55 Flaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -06 -06 Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience -08 -02 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		Σ	Practical-Imaginative	32	8	-39	-10	11	0	8	62	8	\$
O Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 06 -16 78 07 06 -14 01 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -05 20 -12 -22 18 -02 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 02 -15 70 02 16 08 -08 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 48 -02 40 -21 38 37 -05 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 -01 81 12 00 -23 01 Flexibility -03 55 21 10 -00 -53 -26 -03 08 Flexibility -03 20 11 08 -06 -86 -01 10 -09 Chiginality -03 20 11 08 -06 -06 -08 Risk-taking -06 -06 -08 00 17 -01 -08 -15 -00 Education -08 -06 -08 00 17 -01 -06 -15 -00 Education -08 -08 -08 -09 -16 -16 -16 Education -08 -08 -08 -08 -09 -16 -16 -16 Education -08 -08 -08 -09 -12 -07 -12 -16 -16 Experience -08 -08 -09 -09 -09 -09 -09 -09 -09 -09 -09 -09	Q Placid-Apprehensive -11 -22 Q1 Conservative-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Fluency 03 55 Fluency 15 80 Originality -04 06 Oniginality -03 55 Flaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -06 -06 Age -06 -06 Experience -06 -06 Experience -08 -07 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		Z	Forthright-Shrewd	8	13	-21	01	-13	-51	-16	€	8	74
Q1 Conservaive-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 07 -06 20 -12 -22 18 -02 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 02 -15 70 02 16 08 -08 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 48 -02 40 -21 38 37 -05 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 -01 81 12 00 -23 01 Fluency Fluency 03 55 21 10 -00 -53 -26 -03 -08 Flexibility 05 07 18 -02 -01 08 -16 05 Chiginality -03 20 11 08 -06 -86 -01 09 -16 09 Need Achievement 13 01 53 11 14 -14 -84 06 -13 -10 <	Q1 Conservaive-Radical (Analytical) 68 10 Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Fluency 03 55 Flexibility 03 55 Flexibility -03 20 Originality -03 20 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -16 16 Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience -08 -02 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		0	Placid-Apprehensive	=	-73	8	-16	78	0.	8	-14	01	73
Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 02 -15 70 02 16 08 -08 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 48 -02 40 -21 38 37 -05 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 -01 81 12 00 -23 01 Fluency Fluency 03 55 21 10 -00 -53 -26 -03 -08 Flexibility -05 -07 18 -07 18 -02 -01 08 -16 05 Chiginality -03 20 11 08 -06 -06 -06 -06 -06 -06 -06 -07 14 -14 -84 05 -13 -03 Need Achievement 13 01 53 11 14 -14 -84 06 -10 -09 -13 -13 -13 -1	Q2 Group Dependent-Self Sufficient 29 16 Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Fluency 03 55 Flexibility 03 55 Flexibility -03 20 Originality -03 20 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -16 16 Age -06 -06 Education -06 -06 Experience -06 -06 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		ō	Conservative-Radical (Analytical)	8	10	01	8	2	-12	7	18	8	3
Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 48 -02 40 -21 38 37 -05 Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 -01 81 12 00 -23 01 Fluency 03 55 21 10 -00 -53 -26 -03 -08 Flexibility 15 80 -07 18 -02 -01 08 -16 05 Chiginality -03 20 11 08 -06 -86 -01 10 -09 Raboration 13 11 14 -14 -84 06 -13 -03 Risk-taking -16 16 23 -10 -18 -10 -10 -91 -96 -10 -90 -10 -90 -10 -90 -10 -90 -10 -90 -10 -90 -10 -90 -10 -90 -10 -90 -10	Q3 Self Conflict-Self Control -23 06 Q4 Relaxed Tense -04 06 Fluency 03 55 Flexibility 15 80 Originality -03 20 Blaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -16 16 Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience -08 -02 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		ර	Group Dependent-Self Sufficient	જ	16	02	-15	70	05	16	80	%	8
Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 -10 -01 81 12 00 -23 01 Fluency G1 55 21 10 -00 -53 -26 -03 -08 Flexibility 15 80 -07 18 -02 -01 08 -16 08 Originality -03 20 11 08 -06 -86 -01 10 -09 Risboration 13 11 14 -14 -84 06 -13 -03 Risk-taking -16 16 23 -10 -28 -11 -10 -09 Age -06 -06 -06 -06 -06 -07 -01 -06 -13 -07 Education 27 08 -08 55 -09 16 17 -05 -53 Experience -08 -02 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13	Q4 Relaxed-Tense -04 06 Fluency 03 55 Flexibility 15 80 Originality -03 20 Elaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -16 16 Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience -08 -02 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		්රි	Self Conflict-Self Control	53	8	48	25	4	-21	38	37	ģ	78
Fluency 03 55 21 10 -00 -53 -26 -03 -08 Flexibility 15 80 -07 18 -02 -01 08 -16 05 Originality -03 20 11 08 -06 -86 -01 10 -09 Raboration 13 11 14 -14 -84 06 -13 -03 Risk-taking -16 16 23 11 11 -16 -63 21 -10 Age -06 -06 -08 -09 17 -01 -06 -13 -07 Education 27 08 -08 55 -09 16 17 -05 -53 Experience -08 -02 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	Fluency 03 55 Fluency 03 55 Flexibility 15 80 Originality -03 20 Elaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -16 16 Age -06 -06 Education -08 -05 Experience -08 -02 Experience -08 -02 Farcentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		, _Q	Relaxed-Tense	\$	8	-10	ō	81	12	8	-53	0	74
Flexibility 15 80 -07 18 -02 -01 08 -16 05 Originality 15 80 -07 18 -02 -01 08 -16 05 Elaboration 21 13 11 14 -14 -84 06 -13 -03 Need Achievement 13 01 53 11 11 -16 -63 21 -10 Risk-taking -16 16 23 -10 -28 32 19 -16 07 Age	Flexibility 15 80 Originality -03 20 Elaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -16 16 Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience -08 -02 Experience -08 -02 Fercentage Contribution 8.86 8.22		,	Fluency	8	55	21	10	8	-53	97-	Ş	8	71
Originality -03 20 11 08 -06 -86 -01 10 -09 Elaboration 21 13 11 14 -14 -84 06 -13 -03 Need Achievement 13 01 53 11 11 -16 -63 21 -10 Risk-taking -16 16 23 -10 -28 32 19 -16 07 Age -06 -06 -06 -08 90 17 -01 -06 -13 00 Education 27 08 -08 55 -09 16 17 -0 -12 -13 00 Experience -08 -02 00 89 12 07 -12 -16 1	Originality -03 20 Elaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 21 13 Nich Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience Experience Contribution 8.86 8.22	19.		Flexibility	15	80	-04	18	8	Ģ	8	-16	S	73
Elaboration 21 13 11 14 -14 -84 06 -13 -03 Need Achievement 13 01 53 11 11 -16 -63 21 -10 Risk-taking -16 -16 -06 -08 90 17 -01 -06 -13 00 Education 27 08 -02 -03 16 17 -05 -53 Experience -08 -02 -03 -03 -15 -16 16 Experience -08 -02 -03 -03 -15 -16 -16 -16 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	Elaboration 21 13 Need Achievement 13 01 Risk-taking -16 16 Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22	20.		Originality	Ş	20	Ξ	8	8	8	0	10	8	82
Need Achievement 13 01 53 11 11 -16 -63 21 -10 Risk-taking -16 16 23 -10 -28 32 19 -16 07 Age -06 -06 -06 -08 90 17 -01 -06 -13 00 Education 27 08 -08 55 -09 16 17 -05 -53 Experience -08 -02 00 89 12 07 -12 -16 16 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	Need Achievement 13	21.		Elaboration	21	13	11	14	-14	\$	8	-13	පු	\$
Risk-taking -16 16 23 -10 -28 32 19 -16 07 Age -06 -06 -06 -06 -08 90 17 -01 -06 -13 00 Education 27 08 -08 55 -09 16 17 -05 -53 Experience -08 -02 00 89 12 07 -12 -16 16 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	Risk-taking	22.		Need Achievement	13	0	53	=	=	-16	Ş	21	-10	8
Age -06 -06 -08 90 17 -01 -06 -13 00 Education 27 08 -08 55 -09 16 17 -05 -53 Experience -08 -02 00 89 12 07 -12 -16 16 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	Age -06 -06 Education 27 08 Experience -08 -02 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22	23.		Risk-taking	-16	16	23	-10	-78	32	19	-16	07	36
Education 27 08 -08 55 -09 16 17 -05 -53 Experience -08 -02 00 89 12 07 -12 -16 16 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	Education 27 08 Experience -08 -02 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22	24.		Age	8	8	%	8	17	Ģ	8	-13	8	84
Experience -08 -02 00 89 12 07 -12 -16 16 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	Experience -08 -02 Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22	25.		Education	23	80	%	55	8	16	17	Ŗ	-53	73
Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22 9.49 9.62 9.32 8.07 8.13 6.28 5.14	Percentage Contribution 8.86 8.22	56.		Experience	80 -	-02	8	88	12	0.7	-12	-16	16	88
	P. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			Percentage Contribution	8.86	8.22	9.49	9.62	9.32	8.07	8.13	6.28	5.14	73.13

Factor IV: Experience

This factor has high loadings on variables which emphasise that experience in business and a moderate level of formal education can be a requisite for entrepreneurial success. Choudary (1980) reports that education, especially technical education, promotes entrepreneurial success.

Factor V: Anxiety

The significant loadings on factors O, L, Q2, Q3, and Q4 suggest that even individuals who are self-sufficient and well integrated, if suspicious, are also prone to anxiety.

Factor VI: Divergent Thinking vs. Conservatism

Factor VI primarily has high significant negative loadings on the three indices of divergent thinking, i.e., originality, elaboration, and fluency, and a positive loading on conservatism, which clearly indicates that conservatism hinders the process of creative thinking, in other words, it may be said that risk-taking propensity is positively associated with creative thinking.

Factor VII: Intelligence and Achievement

This factor is primarily characterised by significant loadings on intelligence, introversion, need achievement, and entrepreneurial success. The nature of the loadings on this factor primarily reveals that high intelligence, sociability, and a higher need to achieve are important correlates of entrepreneurial success and vice versa.

Factor VIII: Spontaneity

The significant loadings on this factor mainly denotes that entrepreneurs are socially bold, spontaneous, self-motivated, and worldly experienced persons. They are guided by inner directed interests and possess an analytical approach to situations.

Factor IX: Interpersonal Skills

This factor is identified as that of interpersonal skills. The significant positive loadings on shrewdness, warm-heartedness, and entrepreneurial success suggest that interpersonal relations are also essential for success. McClelland (1987) found interpersonal

relationships as a fundamental business resource for successful entrepreneurship. The significant negative loading on education indicates that higher education is not a prerequisite for success.

On the basis of the above analysis, it may be concluded that the structure of factors I, II, V, and VIII precisely define the personality characteristics of the entrepreneurs such as personal dynamic competence, conscientiousness, anxiety, and spontaneity. Factors II and VI briefly describe the process of creative thinking among entrepreneurs, and finally factors IV, VII, and IX reveal the factors promoting entrepreneurial success such as intelligence and achievement motivation, experience, and interpersonal skills.

McClelland (1987) in his appraisal of the successful entrepreneurs states that there are three groups of competencies that are more characteristic of successful entrepreneurs regardless of country and the type of business, first proactivity (initiative, assertiveness), second (achievement motivation syndrome), and third (commitment to work contract and importance of business relationships-interpersonal relationships). The above discussion to some extent is in agreement with McClelland's appraisal of the successful entrepreneur.

To conclude, the study mainly reflects that intelligence, achievement motivation, education, and interpersonal skills as business resource, can be important prerequisites of entrepreneurial success.

REFERENCES

- Cattell, R. B., Eber H. W., & Tatsuoka, M. M. (1970). Handbook for the Sixteen Personality Factor Questionnaire. Illinois: Champaign.
- Child, J. (1975). Managerial and organisational factors associated with company performance. *Journal of Management Studies*, 12, 12-27.
- Choudary, K. V. R. (1980). Successful characteristics of rural entrepreneurship. *Sedme*, 7, 89-104.
- Drucker, P. F. (1981). Our entrepreneurial economy. *Harvard Business Review*, 1, 59-64.
- Friedlander, F., & Pickle, H. (1968). Components of effectiveness in small organisations. Administrative Science Quarterly, 13, 289-304.

- Hornaday, J., & Bunker, C. (1970). The nature of the entrepreneur. *Personnel Psychology*, 23, 45-54.
- Hundal, P. S. (1971). A study of entrepreneurial motivation: Comparing the fast and slow progressive small scale industrial entrepreneurs of Punjab. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 55(4), 317-333.
- Hundal, P. S., & Singh, S. (1975). Motivational aspects of economic development. In J. W. Bery and W. J. Donner (Eds.), *Applied cross-cultural psychology*. Amsterdam: Swets and Zeitlinger.
- Kaul, M. (1990). Public enterprise management: Strategies for success. Vikalpa, 15, 3-13.
- Kapoor, S. D. (1965). Norms on the 16PF Test: Forms A and B(VKKJ: KA & KHA). *Indian Journal of Psychology*, 40 (1).
- McClelland, D. C. (1961). The achieving society. New York: Van Mostrand.
- McClelland, D. C. (1971). The achievement motive in economic growth. In P. Killy (Ed.) *Entrepreneurship and economic development*. New York: Free Press.
- McClelland, D. C. (1987). Characteristics of successful entrepreneurs. The Journal of Creative Behaviour, 21, 219-233.
- McClelland, D. C., & Winter, D. C. (1969). Motivating economic achievement. New York: Free Press.
- Meredith, G. G., Nelson, R. E., & Neck, P. A. (1982). The practice of entrepreneurship. Geneva:. International Labour Office.
- Pickle, H. B. (1964). Personality and success: An evaluation of personal characteristics of successful small business managers. Washington, D. C.: US Small Business Administration.
- Smith, J. M. (1973). A quick measure of achievement motivation. British Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 12, 137-143.
- Torrance, E. P. (1966). Exploring the lists of the automation of guided, planned experiences in creative thinking. In J. S. Roucek (Ed.), *Programmed teaching*. New York: Philosophical Library.
- Torrance, E. P. (1971). Long-range prediction studies and international applications of the Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking. Paper presented at the XVIIth International Congress of Applied Psychology, Liege.: Belgium.

- Wallach, M. A., Kogan, M., & Bem, D. J. (1962). Group influence on individual risk-taking. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 65, 75-86.
- Williams, H. S. (1980). Entrepreneurs in the non-project world. In Business, 2(4).